

by

## Walter H. Capps

The inability of the Americans to impose their will on Vietnam had been answered in 1968, yet the leadership of this country had not been able to adjust to that failure. And so the war went on, tearing at this country; a sense of numbness seemed to replace an earlier anger. There was, Americans were finding, no light at the end of the tunnel, only greater darkness.

-- David Halberstam

Despite what seemed like the interminable bloodbath of Vietnam, and because of it, the great changes of the war's decade were ones of sensibility, awareness, and attitude, not of institutions.

-- Morris Dickstein

At the same time, the war was relying on inherited myth, it was generating new myth, and that myth is part of the fiber of our own lives.

-- Paul Fussell

This is intended as a starter paper. Its goal is to serve as background to the project we are launching on the religious implications of the Vietnam War, and to identify some of the projects areas of interest. Our motive in having a paper on this subject at this time is to gain greater clarity about our own interests in the subject so that the motter can be approached systematically and comprehensively in the weeks and months ahead.

Apart from its more intrinsic worth, the subject has a at the outset. symbolic significance that I believe is worth noting, Genetically speaking, the project was conceived through a series of conversations, across the years, with Eulah and Irving Laucks. Much of this discussion has focussed upon the roles religious influences might assume in helping increase the modern world's capacities for peace. Irving Laucks had turned his attention toward developing peace strategies following the atomic bomb attacks upon Hagasaki and Hiroshima in the final days of World War II.

The record also shows, and Norman Cousins' address of several weeks ago confirms, that the Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions found a portion of its own raison d'etre in the same catastrophic events. There was an acute at the time awareness then that every aspect of human life had been threatened and subjected to pervasive realignment by the power that had been unleashed. Heretofore all war, while tragic, could be limited war because warfare was restricted But the nuclear capability changed to finite proportions. the meaning of war, and, through it, as Robert Lifton has pointed out, it has altered perceptions of life. Lifton noted in Boundaries (1970) and in Death in Life. Survivors of Hiroshima (1968) that the fundamental sets of patterns by which human life is approached and mediated underwent radical change. Heretofore, life had been the comprehensive term and death had been comprehended by life. Following Hiroshima, particularly for those persons who experienced its ravages directly and immediately, death became the dominant polar term, and life was conceived in terms of

- 2 -

the ways human beings undertand death. With this followed changes in patterns of personality formation -- from more or less fixed models to a protean style -- as well as in religious and philosophical orientations. Human beings had come to conceive life differently. And the ramifications were to be felt in the ways in which persons related to persons and nations to nations. They were also to be sensed in attitudes toward government, toward leadership, toward the role of the military, toward all forms and reservoirs of power, to authority in From this point forward, all instruments of power, in degrees never possible before, would be approached with suspicion. For power, ex hypothesi, carried the threat of destruction, and destruction spelled extinction.

Sensitivities nurtured by such realizations called for The bases an urgent and thorough reconsideration of everything upon which sustainable human life depends. There was a new examinapolitical, social, and tion of the function of our dominant institutions, cultural, on shulion social, and political. Serious attention needed to be given to the dynamics of world order, particularly as these had and value been redressed by the cataclysmic event. The function of Some of them had to education was reassessed. Value questions, previously underadjustments stood to have been settled long ago, were opened to fresh There was deep recognition that the survival examination. of the species required a new (or at least deliberately and uligion and freshly conceived) strategies. Further, no assurance could

- 3 -

neneselar

and the

which

neg

line.

Calter,

pratia

changed

fixed to a

pon

poteas

style.

neula

jull

altered

Proformed

at to 4

made n

Molorophi rientatie

world in

zal and primary character of the change be found -- given the fundamental realignments that had,  $\smile$  occurred -- that the new strategies would prove successful. Indeed, there was even serious questioning of strategy to was , almost as if the forces that had been released mere itself too powerful to be tamed, were destined to run their own courses, making virtually inconceivable scientific But they would also make advances and the prospect of all out destruction more immediate and imminent.

com

I have portrayed the situation this way, following Lifton's lead, to dramatize that from some such perspective as this 🛩 (more detailed, more specific, more sophisticated, and more circumstantially-ordered the the Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions came prud a potion of into being. The early documents give evidence of the power of Hiroshima's influence. Clearly, the Center has had a stake in the fate of our civilization, and, indeed, in the future of corporate human life. It has been concerned that those elements upon which life, \* and our sense of life 🟞 depends not be destroyed, shunted, or left to neglect. "Hiroshima," it seens, had worked its powers upon the founders' consciousness (S. And the Center itself was a product of the response to that awesome, tragic, and paradoxically catalytic event. The Center n Thespirce was born, at least in part, via Hiroshima sensitivities. I cite this correspondence as the basis for a number mernelaled of further related preliminary observations. First, the

portrayal I have sketched, with some necessary additional details, describes the situation from which Irving Laucks' compulsions for world peace came por Second, the project on Vietnam, as it is being conceived under the Center's Hat Substantively & That auspices, is linked to the originative interest in Hiroing Evaryin shima. Though the events have a distinctive and differing force, they both possess eloquent formative power, the one coming at the beginning of the Center's history, and, if it is not presumptious effice, the other intemingled with the time of significant renewal. Thirdly, as I hope to demonstrate, the attitudinal aspects of "Vietnam" have their own origins or stimulae in "Hiroshima." Both became larger much more than events that could be isolated. "Vietnam" massinely was not simply a war, and "Hiroshima" was not only a destructive nuclear block. No Neither can be understood in narrow senses, for each represents an event in human consciousness, else stands an seedsoon for ellerge 5 a testing of fundamental human assumptions, and an eventual redressing of those sets of connections through which human beings define themselves. In a multiplicity of respects, "Hiroshima" created "Vietnam." The revised understanding of the implications of warfare affected the way the war was percepved, interpreted, portrayed, and fought. Because the stakes were conceived differently, the war itself was regulated by a new agenda. "Winning" and "losing" couldn't mean what they meant before. Neither , unlike previous situations, could be determined on the basis of the acquisition

- 5 -

of territory, the winning of battles, the killing of enemy soldiers, the bombing of enemy establishments, the plundering of enemy strongholds, etc. For the battles that were waged being assessed by other kinds of criteria. The battlefield itself was the arena whereon other sorts of conflict It wasnin tothe Sattle simply, but it was also Some of the sensitivities adjustment were finding dramatic expression. could not find nurtured in response to "Hiroshima" found enunciation and until challenge -- both at once -- in "Vietnam." By the time of the Vietnam War, Hiroshima's realignments had become selfconscious. They made it impossible to judge the outcome of the war in traditional terms. And they non-traditional cast to the war itself. The threat of destruction infinite destruction was the regulative polar term by which all finite events were given a corresponding place.

Signs of this transposition appear in American religious sensitivities. The past years have seen the phenomenal growth of interest in Asian religions in the west. For the past several years (until the shift that may be occurring now) students have resonated towards the religions of the east, with the capacities they hold for the cultivation of meditative practices and introspective knowledge. Yoga, transcendental meditation, transpersonal psychology, transnormal experience, psychic disclosures, the attraction of eastern gurus, and the development of simpler less conflicted attitudes and responses to human life have all been nurturedby the permeation of western culture by Asian religious currents. These developments are so self-evident that

- 6 -

they need not be demonstrated. They are facts of modern religions, cultural, psychological, and religious life.

But the linkage between this religious transformation and "Vietnam" has not been examined thoroughly. The linkage is direct, profound, and multi-layered. "Vietnam" gave occasion to Asian religious sensitivities. The latter were one set of self-consistent religious and attitudinal options made available to the west by "Hiroshima." In quawing, self. dueloping explained making this suggestion, we are not invoking the simple-We do not mean minded "influence theory," namely, that those westerners who went to Vietnam were exposed to Asia simply by being easures " back there, then returned, bringing one of their "finds" with But the situa - 6 hkago Some of this happened, of course. them. tion is more complicated. "Vietnam" was fought in the Decident a wel as in the Crient. And, the Terms west too, and the stakes were as much mental, psychological, and spiritual as they were geographical and militarial. "Vietnam" stimulated Asian religious sensitivities in the west because it was an event that could not be adequately the standard western idealiens or satisfactorily comprehended in western religious terms.

Dietrich Bonhoeffer, the brilliant German Protestant theologian who met his death on the gallows in a Nazi prison in 1944, may have sensed the correspondence. In words not wholly comprehensible in his Letters and Papers from Prison, he suggests that the presence of two fullscale world wars in Christendom i n less than half a century is a judgment against religion of the severest kind.

• 7 -

Bonhoeffer perceived that there was something intrinsic to 1 the ulision - and not simply & Cher Tranily clove. Such the religious spirit itself which a allowed and perhaps also A stimulated such conflict. This is to point to a dispositional Judain and factor, and not to overlook the injunctions within the Islam ulisons Christi an faith toward loving one's enemies, turning the other cheek, not responding in kind when one is despised, Neither is it harmed, or wrongfully used. It is not to gainsay minimize Christendom's emphasis upon love, peace, brotherhood, harmony, an emphasis which is exemplified in the lives of St. Francis of Assisi, Mother Teresa of Calcutta, and a host of others lesser known.

end. aly

But it is to recognize that the xx religions of the are allow to the west are xgenxxix agential disposition and though in They motion. I be It allow the promotion of the ford might are intent upon a doer, resolving conflict by redressing, eliminating, or woke ma conquer eril. particular subduing. They believe it MXM proper that right vanquish should conquer wrong, while providing considerable latitutde with large regarding the mode by which the victory shall be achieved. ase of this is blongs to an allimetre. They affirm that there is a basic propriety, a fundamental cluctance with they harmony and justice to human life, a propriety that will accept thongs wills to be exercised, a harmony that will be enunciated, and as the justice that will be enacted. They believes in judgment ere. They against wrong, and they expects such judgment to unk meters bax be expressed -- sometimes dramatically -- in historical devents. Minin agenting

Law we reh Lees

achieved. . And