Contemporary American Religion at the Crossroads

by Walter H. Capps

This is an anxious and uncertain time for American religion. There is tension in the air. There are dilemmas that remain unresolved. There is a mood of ambivalence. Previous confidences appear to be slipping, but the desire for spiritual certainty and collective self-confidence remains.

Why has this happened? Why is the mosaic of contemporary American religion made up of not altogether harmonious elements? There must be several reasons, all having directly to do with persistent struggles within the society, and with shifts, modifications, and adjustments within the culture.

For one, the New Religious Right that burst unexpectedly onto the scene in the late 1970s—and whose power, influence, and significance were mostly underestimated by academics and within the established intellectual communities —has made sufficient tactical errors to have largely fallen out of favor with sustaining opinion within the populace. But the occasion for such a movement remains. The Religious Right happened, like the previous Great Awakening within this country, when the moral and spiritual vitality of the people was at low ebb. The disappointments over the failure of governmental authority, the lack of confidence in previously established traditions, and the progressive erosion of the most stable institutions within the society (most especially, the family) had all taken a heavy toll. Americans, whose national spiritual and collective identity had been shaped by a revised Calvinistic covenant theology (which is an adaptation of biblical analogs), seemed subject

to the prophet's judgment that God could no longer bless the nation because the nation was no longer "listening to his voice and obeying his commands." The national situation, approached in these theological terms, was ripe for the proposal of the New Religious Right that the country could recapture its lost spiritual vitality only if the people were to turn from their wicked and/or errant ways. When the President of the United States, at least from time to time, seemed to be advancing the same position, and interpreting the national situation in the same biblical terms, the compulsions toward moral and spiritual regeneration were exercised with patriotic zeal.

However, the fact that key leaders of the movement were themselves the victims of "moral failure" supported a suspicion that the effort on behalf of collective religious renewal disguised a more fundamental interest in money, sex, and power (the very antitheses of the traditional virtues: poverty, chastity, and obedience). And the clear organizational linkages between the religious movement and the ideological zealotry of the patriots who had been responsible for the Iran/Contra episodes helped sustain the impression that there had been a serious overstepping.

Thus when television evangelist Pat Robertson made an effort to garner the Republican nomination for the Presidency—even after invoking the blessing of the Almighty—he was hardly a serious contender. The majority of the people of the nation had already witnessed too much scandal to be willing to vouchsafe presidential authority to such

precarious sponsorship. And the most of the New Christian Right was not sisted when Mr. Reagan, despite being promises that he was not selling out made common cause, on four success occasions, with the archenemy leader the Soviet Union. A movement that sessed some of the necessary qualifications to be taken seriously, as it were became frozen in time. The images in had unintentionally created became prominent obstacles that the cause for itself scrambling for a viable future.

It should have been taken send since it came into prominence in response to a widespread cultural rec tion that the secularization of trad religious values was incapable of gr the people sufficient moral and sp vitality. The irony of the story is the forts of the Religious Right did more advance rather than to resist the pr of secularization. And yet the ques to which it related remain unansw What compelling religious values su America in this last quarter (and a last decade) of the twentieth centur What wellsprings of moral and spil vitality can still be tapped by a soci wishing to recover from successive of collective trauma? What is the s of the biblical tradition in a post-tra tional America?

There is therefore good reason for the renewed current fascination with events and challenges of the 1960s. O college campuses undergraduate cours on this subject are attracting high emments. Documentary filmmakers, text book publishers, and curriculum planners have taken notice. It is as if there



is a growing compulsion to reconsider the era, as if there can be no clear directives regarding the future until a wiser understanding of the era is fashioned.

At the heart of this development is the interest in the continuing impact of the Vietnam War. Each year finds more books and articles on the subject than the year before. Each year also produces at least one seminal film on the subject: a film that carries the subject to the next stage of interpretation. In 1986-87 that film was Oliver Stone's highly acclaimed Platoon. In 1988, in my judgment, that film was Dear America, first shown on HBO television in April. And during the current year network television has also gotten into the act, with Zev Braun's Tour of Duty, and more recently the companion piece, China Beach, dealing with the role of women in the war, and thereafter. Add to this the fact that the Vietnam Veterans Memorial is the site that draws more tourists than any other in Washington, D.C. and that Americans are now free to travel to Vietnam, and one has a developing interest that has not yet peaked. The newest phase of the interest is the plan for a dialogue between American veterans of the Vietnam War and Soviet veterans of the Afghanistan War, perhaps in Moscow, in late November.

Why the ongoing interest in the Vietnam War, and what is its relationship to contemporary American religion? The answer seems to lie in the recognition that the nation lost more than a war through its prolonged military involvement in Southeast Asia: it also lost indispensable elements of its collective spiritual identity. Some have referred to it as the experience of "the dark night of the soul," bespeaking collective spiritual desolation, identifying one of the critical stages in the mystical life as being applicable to the national spirit. The consequence is a need for healing—between those who fought and those who protest-



"...the nation lost more than a war through its prolonged military involvement in Southeast Asia: it also lost indispensable elements of its collective spiritual identity."

ed, between the "Vietnam generation" and both predecessor and successor generations, between Americans and Vietnamese people. But the questions for Americans are about history: How is the American story told after the Vietnam War? Can that traumatic event be accommodated within some continuous his torical account, or does it constitute a break in the narrative sequence? To what (except to aberration and anomaly) do the 1960s belong? Following the war, is a continuous, self-consistent telling of the nation's history still possible?

As all of this is occurring, there is also a dramatic contest in the society between regional and global orientations. In education this tension has been illustrated recently in competition between core-curriculum attitudes at Stanford University. What for years was a general education program on the classic books of Western civilization is now a re-

vised general education program also includes deliberate recogn treatment of race, gender, and et In this tension is a clash between dinal and experiential points of d ture. The one point of departure is rected toward a deeper appreciat the American experience. The other strives for global citizenship. The strives for in-depth understanding what is assumed to lie close at har yet has no more than a precarious rent status. The second directs att to a world beyond the familiar one the religions, world views, practices liefs, mores and ways of life of those enjoy no established or assumed car cal status. In the mist of this class comes Garrison Kiellor's success w regionally-oriented Prairie Home Com panion as well as Michael Dukakis peal to being "the son of immigrants

The contest between global and gional orientations is also present in temporary American religion. At the very time that dedicated efforts are derway to "Christianize America" (v involves Americanizing Christiani there is also increased sensitivity to teachings of the great religious tradtions of the world. The development academic courses in religion in colle and universities throughout the cour has contributed to this new knowled Also significant is the fact that high schools now offer courses-in histor erature, and social studies-in which subjects like Buddhism, Hinduism, Islam receive some deliberate covera And the prominence of political tens in the Middle East, South Africa, and Latin America have merely increase recognition that Americans belong global community. This awareness strengthened by the conviction that if wave of the future for the entire inte tional community has already begun persistent pulsations in the current of the Third-World nations.

and regional " In revamping ments, for ex-

wheth systems, trusting that the

are mutually supportive rather than

ually exclusive. In responding to the

ora of reports on the strengths and

knesses of American education, most

ges have tried to have it both ways:

es in Pacific Rim Studies, for exhave multiplied, but so has the est that there be increased treatof racial, gender, and ethnicity issat home. And in the churches and gogues across the land, concern ut the nation's standing with respect ival nations is being matched by conmabout famine and hunger in Africa, enation's moral commitment in Latin erica, alarm about apartheid propensthroughout the world, and the tt of the homeless at home. The obwe is to have it both ways, that is, to wthe situation of those who continue re at the margins of our national life aring the plight of those who, gh one circumstance or another, been marginalized throughout the d. But this too is American religion the crossroads. The forces are poised ush in several different directions at e, with some willingness to maintain tension until a successful resolution be effected.

Over 150 years ago, in his classic cracy in America, Alexis de ueville observed that only in close gance with the dictates of democracy eligion declare "all persons equal in ight of God" and "all citizens equal te the law." By any other formula, locqueville attested, religion will be aght to rebuff the equality which it



loves and to abuse freedom as its adversary, whereas by taking it by the hand it could sanctify its striving." Such statements suggest that the genius of the American dream lies in its inviolable affirmation of religious pluralism. Pluralism allows each of its constituent faiths to take itself with utmost seriousness so long as it not try to legislate its convictions into laws that are binding for everyone. In this sense a "Christian America" has no legitimacy, and attempts to create such a hybrid are doomed to failure. From the perspective of *Democracy* in America, when God blesses America it is religious pluralism that is being affirmed, and not one or another prescribed theological interpretation of the nation's nature and destiny.

When Robert Bellah and his coauthors of Habits of the Heart prepared their commentary on Democracy in America, they saw the clash between individualism and the quest for community as being the most compelling issue in the current era. They too worked toward a formula that affirmed the sanctity of pluralism as well as the equality of each person, as de Tocqueville's language has it, "in the sight of God." When the nineteenth-century Danish philosopher N.S.S. Grundtvig wrestled with similar challenges, he proposed that a "human first" principle be understood as representing "the divine order of things." All else (race, color, ideology, religion) are secondary characteristics, he attested, and the cosmos itself is violated when secondary characteristics are given primary status, or when the relationships between them are blurred or confused.

Contemporary American religion is at the crossroads because it is striving to address the perennial aspirations of the human spirit in accordance with the

dictates of democracy and in light of the specific challenges to the present generation. And what are the desires of the human spirit if not for compelling moral values, a sound historical orientation, and some reliable basis for discerning relationships between individuals and communities. Some of the proposals that have been advanced to treat this complex agenda have failed already, for their terms are drawn too restrictively. Oth-

ers have faltered because they have identified with one or the other side in the competition between polarized forces, when the deeper challenge is to affirm both simultaneously.

But the attitude that will survive, if the spiritual vitality of the nation is to be rekindled, is one that

Earthrise. Courtesy NASA. OPPOSITE: Vietnam Veterans Memorial, from the exhibition Vietnam Veterans Memorial: A National Experience. Photo by Jeff Ploskonka. Courtesy SITES and Museum of the Big Bend.

ABOVE:

honors the time-tested conviction that we (all of us) are human beings first, and citizens (all of us) before the law. Thus the larger story about contemporary American religion concerns the prospect that there is no way effectively to resist the secularizing tendency except through invocation of the principles of the Constitution. Alexis de Tocqueville envisioned the situation this way a century and a half ago, and the challenge continues. $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{L}}$

Walter H. Capps is professor of religious studies at the University of California at Santa Barbara.